

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/638,075	09/638,075 08/11/2000		Hiroji Hanawa	4609 USA/ETCH/DICP	1010
32588	7590	10/11/2005	EXAMINER		INER
APPLIED I			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
2881 SCOT SANTA CL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -			1753	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim					
	(Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/638,075	HANAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rodney G. McDonald	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final.	-				
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 2-24,27,28,30-34 and 36-41 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 2-4,7-14,20-24,27,28,30-34 and 36-4: Claim(s) 5,6 and 15-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original part of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Constitution of the oath of the oa	vn from consideration. is/are allowed. election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 6 are indefinite because the subject matter of these claims does not relate to the "plenum" and does not relate to the "continuous opening in the enclosure extending around the axis of symmetry of the chamber". It is not describe in applicant's specification at least with regard to the plenum embodiment shown in Fig. 29 of Applicant's specification.

Claims 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, the subject matter of these claims does not relate to the "plenum" and does not relate to the "continuous opening in the enclosure extending around the axis of symmetry of the chamber". It is not describe in applicant's specification at least with regard to the plenum embodiment shown in Fig. 29 of Applicant's specification.

Art Unit: 1753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shun'ko (U.S. Pat. 6,392,351).

Regarding claim 15, Shun'ko teach in Fig. 3 an enclosure 62 and a workpiece support 64 facing an overlying portion of the enclosure 62. A process region extends there between. The enclosure has at least first and second openings near generally opposite sides of the workpiece support. A hollow conduit 72 connects the first and second openings. A first coil antenna 84 accepts RF power from source 86. A plasma is produced. (See Fig. 3; Column 3 lines 66-68; Column 4 lines 1-41) In Fig. 5 it is suggested to utilize an array of mutually parallel hollow conduits 922. (See Fig. 5)

Art Unit: 1753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shun'ko (U.S. Pat. 6,392,351).

Shun'ko is discussed above and all is as applies above. (See Shun'ko discussed above)

The differences between Shun'ko and the present claims is that utilizing an array of antenna coils is not discussed, utilizing an adjustable RF power source is not discussed, utilizing discrete magnetic cores is not discussed and utilizing a single magnetic core is not discussed.

As to utilizing a plurality of antenna coils since Shun'ko teach utilizing an antenna coil for one tube this leads one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize additional antennas for each conduit.

As to utilizing an RF power source that can be controlled Shun'ko suggest controlling the current to the Rf power source. (Column 3 lines 50-53)

As to utilizing an array of magnet cores since Shun'ko teach utilizing a magnetic core for one tube this leads one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize additional magnetic cores for each conduit.

As to utilizing a single magnetic core for the array of conduits Shun'ko teach that at least one core must be used and this would suggest a single core. (Column 6 lines 20-26)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Shun'ko by utilizing an array of antenna coils, by utilizing an adjustable RF power source, utilizing discrete magnetic cores and utilizing a single magnetic core as taught by Shun'ko because it allows for producing a uniform plasma.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 4, 7-14, 20-24, 27, 28, 30-34, 36-41 are allowed.

Claims 5 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 09/638,075

Art Unit: 1753

Claims 2 and 3 are allowable because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including wherein the hollow conduit comprises a plenum extending around an axis of symmetry of the chamber and wherein the opening is continuous in the enclosure extending around the axis of symmetry of the chamber.

Page 6

Claims 4, 13, 14, 20-22, 30-33 and 37 are allowable because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including wherein the conduit is formed of a metal material, the conduit having an insulating gap within a wall of the conduit having an insulating gap within a wall of the conduit extending transversely to the torroidal path and separating the conduit into two portions so as to prevent formation of a closed electrical path along the length of the conduit.

Claims 7-12 are allowable because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including the coil antenna comprising a second winding extending on an opposite side of and along the conduit.

Claims 23, 24, 27, 28, 34, 36, 38-41 because the prior art of record does not teach the claimed subject matter including the height of the closed torroidal path along an axis generally perpendicular to a plane of the wafer support in a process region overlying the workpiece support is less than elsewhere in the closed torroidal path, whereby to enhance the plasma ion density in the process region relative to the plasma ion density elsewhere in the closed torroidal path.

Application/Control Number: 09/638,075

Art Unit: 1753

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 19, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

DRAWINGS

The drawing objections have been overcome.

35 U.S.C. 112 REJECTIONS

The 35 U.S.C. 112 1st and 2nd paragraph rejections have been overcome with the exception of the rejections made to claims 5 and 6. Applicant has contended that claims 5 and 6 have always depended from claim 4 and therefore should not be rejected. It is argued that claims 5 and 6 depend from claim 2 as presented in Applicant's amendment and will continue to be rejected on the grounds set forth above with regard to 35 U.S.C. 112 1st and 2nd paragraphs.

35 U.S.C. 102 REJECTIONS

In response to the argument that Shun'ko do not teach the requirement that the pair of openings be on opposite sides of the workpiece support, it is argued that the language of the claim requires the openings to be near generally opposite sides of the workpiece support. The word "near" causes the Examiner to interpret Shun'ko to have openings "near" opposite sides of the workpiece since near is a relative term.

35 U.S.C. 103 REJECTIONS

In response to the argument that Shun'ko do not teach the requirement that the pair of openings be on opposite side of the workpiece support, it is argued that the language of the claim require the openings to be near generally opposite sides of the

Application/Control Number: 09/638,075

Art Unit: 1753

workpiece support. The word "near" causes the Examiner to interpret Shun'ko to have openings "near" opposite sides of the workpiece since near is a relative term.

DOUBLE PATENTING

The double patenting rejection has been overcome.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney G. McDonald whose telephone number is 571-272-1340. The examiner can normally be reached on M- Th with Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/638,075 Page 9

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney G. McDonald Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

RM October 3, 2005